

Evaluation Score Sheet for RFP 25-076AS

Title: On-Call Citywide Hazardous Materials Clean-Up and Removal Services

Evaluator 1

| | | American Integrated Services, Inc. | | Clean Harbors | | Environ | |
|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| 1 | Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail) – 0 points | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration. | Pass/Fail (0) | Pass | | Pass | | Pass |
| 2 | Qualification of Firm | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within project f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP g) Demonstrates thorough understanding of applicable environmental laws and regulatory requirements (e.g., EPA, DOT, DTSC) relevant to hazardous materials handling and disposal. h) Describes the firm's ability to obtain and manage required permits, and maintain accurate manifesting, reporting, and recordkeeping in compliance with local, state, and federal laws. i) Includes contingency procedures for managing unexpected conditions and environmental risks. j) Demonstrates ability to mobilize rapidly across multiple City departments, with scheduling flexibility and readiness for urgent or unplanned work. | 25 | 22 | | 19 | | 23 |
| 3 | Qualifications of Company and Personnel (experience) | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects g) Demonstrates familiarity with hazardous materials cleanup projects in municipal settings. | 25 | 22 | | 20 | | 22 |
| 4 | Approach to Safety Measures | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years d) Includes procedures for environmental protection during cleanup, such as measures for dust suppression, vapor control, spill containment, and waste transport safeguards. e) Describes contingency plans for managing unexpected contamination, worsening site conditions, or other environmental risks. | 25 | 23 | | 19 | | 22 |
| 5 | Reasonableness of Cost and Price | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on project cost for recently completed projects of similar scope and size, consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price | 25 | 20 | | 19 | | 23 |
| Total scores | | 100 | 87 | | 77 | | 90 |

Evaluation Score Sheet for RFP 25-076AS

Title: On-Call Citywide Hazardous Materials Clean-Up and Removal Services

Evaluator 2

| | | American Integrated Services, Inc. | | Clean Harbors | | Enviro | |
|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| 1 | Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail) – 0 points | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration. | Pass/Fail (0) | Pass | | Pass | | Pass |
| 2 | Qualification of Firm | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within project f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP g) Demonstrates thorough understanding of applicable environmental laws and regulatory requirements (e.g., EPA, DOT, DTSC) relevant to hazardous materials handling and disposal. h) Describes the firm's ability to obtain and manage required permits, and maintain accurate manifesting, reporting, and recordkeeping in compliance with local, state, and federal laws. i) Includes contingency procedures for managing unexpected conditions and environmental risks. j) Demonstrates ability to mobilize rapidly across multiple City departments, with scheduling flexibility and readiness for urgent or unplanned work. | 25 | 21 | | 19 | | 24 |
| 3 | Qualifications of Company and Personnel (experience) | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects g) Demonstrates familiarity with hazardous materials cleanup projects in municipal settings. | 25 | 22 | | 18 | | 22 |
| 4 | Approach to Safety Measures | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years d) Includes procedures for environmental protection during cleanup, such as measures for dust suppression, vapor control, spill containment, and waste transport safeguards. e) Describes contingency plans for managing unexpected contamination, worsening site conditions, or other environmental risks. | 25 | 22 | | 18 | | 22 |
| 5 | Reasonableness of Cost and Price | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on project cost for recently completed projects of similar scope and size, consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price | 25 | 18 | | 18 | | 22 |
| Total scores | | 100 | 83 | | 73 | | 90 |

| Environmental Logistics | Hunter Consulting dba HCI Environmental & Engineering | | NRC Environmental Services, Inc. | |
|-------------------------|--|-------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
| | Pass | | Pass | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 20 | | 18 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 19 | | 19 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 20 | | 18 | |

| | Raters Score | | Raters Score | |
|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| | 19 | | 18 | |

Evaluation Score Sheet for RFP 25-076AS

Title: On-Call Citywide Hazardous Materials Clean-Up and Removal Services

Evaluator 3

| | | American Integrated Services, Inc. | | Clean Harbors | | Enviro | |
|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|---|--------------|
| 1 | Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail) – 0 points | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration. | Pass/Fail (0) | Pass | | Pass | | Pass |
| 2 | Qualification of Firm | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within project f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP g) Demonstrates thorough understanding of applicable environmental laws and regulatory requirements (e.g., EPA, DOT, DTSC) relevant to hazardous materials handling and disposal. h) Describes the firm's ability to obtain and manage required permits, and maintain accurate manifesting, reporting, and recordkeeping in compliance with local, state, and federal laws. i) Includes contingency procedures for managing unexpected conditions and environmental risks. j) Demonstrates ability to mobilize rapidly across multiple City departments, with scheduling flexibility and readiness for urgent or unplanned work. | 25 | 25 | clear and concise action plan/proposal including monthly service summary reports, incident reports for unusual events, regular documentation, etc | 20 | appears to have detailed experience in hazardous waste management and plan of action for incidents, does not seem as flexible as others for example with routine pickups, unclear when or how as only states "managed through technical services branch". | 22 |
| 3 | Qualifications of Company and Personnel (experience) | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects g) Demonstrates familiarity with hazardous materials cleanup projects in municipal settings. | 25 | 25 | has reputable experience, including a government agency reference | 20 | has decent experience with city contracts cleaning up biohazardous waste | 25 |
| 4 | Approach to Safety Measures | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years d) Includes procedures for environmental protection during cleanup, such as measures for dust suppression, vapor control, spill containment, and waste transport safeguards. e) Describes contingency plans for managing unexpected contamination, worsening site conditions, or other environmental risks. | 25 | 21 | had serious violation which was contested and was lowered to "other" | 10 | many serious violations including 1 fatality | 25 |
| 5 | Reasonableness of Cost and Price | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on project cost for recently completed projects of similar scope and size, consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price | 25 | 25 | | 25 | | 20 |
| Total scores | | 100 | 96 | | 75 | | 92 |

| Environmental Logistics | Hunter Consulting dba HCI Environmental & Engineering | | NRC Environmental Services, Inc. | |
|-------------------------|---|-------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
| | Pass | | Fail | lacked required paperwork |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|---|--------------|--|--------------|-------|
| could have a more precise plan/proposal, unclear for routine pickup | 18 | Seems very experienced, details many examples of experience however does not detail plan of action/proposal for our city as well | 0 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|---|--------------|--|--------------|-------|
| has notable experience with other contracted cities | 25 | experience with city contracts as well as Ontario PD | 0 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| | 25 | no violations | 0 | |

| | Raters Score | | Raters Score | |
|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| | 20 | | 0 | |

Evaluation Score Sheet for RFP 25-076AS

Title: On-Call Citywide Hazardous Materials Clean-Up and Removal Services

Evaluator 4

| | | American Integrated Services, Inc. | | Clean Harbors | | Enviro | |
|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| 1 | Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail) – 0 points | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration. | Pass/Fail (0) | Pass | | Pass | | Pass |
| 2 | Qualification of Firm | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within project f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP g) Demonstrates thorough understanding of applicable environmental laws and regulatory requirements (e.g., EPA, DOT, DTSC) relevant to hazardous materials handling and disposal. h) Describes the firm's ability to obtain and manage required permits, and maintain accurate manifesting, reporting, and recordkeeping in compliance with local, state, and federal laws. i) Includes contingency procedures for managing unexpected conditions and environmental risks. j) Demonstrates ability to mobilize rapidly across multiple City departments, with scheduling flexibility and readiness for urgent or unplanned work. | 25 | 20 | | 22 | | 23 |
| 3 | Qualifications of Company and Personnel (experience) | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects g) Demonstrates familiarity with hazardous materials cleanup projects in municipal settings. | 25 | 21 | | 22 | | 23 |
| 4 | Approach to Safety Measures | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years d) Includes procedures for environmental protection during cleanup, such as measures for dust suppression, vapor control, spill containment, and waste transport safeguards. e) Describes contingency plans for managing unexpected contamination, worsening site conditions, or other environmental risks. | 25 | 20 | | 21 | | 23 |
| 5 | Reasonableness of Cost and Price | Max Points | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on project cost for recently completed projects of similar scope and size, consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price | 25 | 19 | | 23 | | 22 |
| Total scores | | 100 | 80 | | 88 | | 91 |

| Environmental Logistics | Hunter Consulting dba HCI Environmental & Engineering | | NRC Environmental Services, Inc. | |
|-------------------------|---|-------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
| | Pass | | Pass | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 20 | | 19 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 21 | | 19 | |

| Notes | Raters Score | Notes | Raters Score | Notes |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | 22 | | 19 | |

| | Raters Score | | Raters Score | |
|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| | 21 | | 21 | |

Evaluation Score Sheet for RFP 25-076AS

Title: On-Call Citywide Hazardous Materials Clean-Up and Removal Services

Evaluation Summary / Total Scores

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|----------|--|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----|
| | | American Integrated Services, Inc. | Clean Harbors | Environmental Logistics | Hunter Consulting dba HCI Environmental & Engineering | NRC Environmental Services, Inc. | |
| 1 | Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail) – 0 points | Max Points | Score | Score | Score | Score | |
| | Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration. | Pass/Fail (0) | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass/Fail | |
| 2 | Qualification of Firm | Max Points | Score | Score | Score | Score | |
| | The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within project f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP g) Demonstrates thorough understanding of applicable environmental laws and regulatory requirements (e.g., EPA, DOT, DTSC) relevant to hazardous materials handling and disposal. h) Describes the firm's ability to obtain and manage required permits, and maintain accurate manifesting, reporting, and recordkeeping in compliance with local, state, and federal laws. i) Includes contingency procedures for managing unexpected conditions and environmental risks. j) Demonstrates ability to mobilize rapidly across multiple City departments, with scheduling flexibility and readiness for urgent or unplanned work. | 25 | 22 | 20 | 23 | 20 | 14 |
| 3 | Qualifications of Company and Personnel (experience) | Max Points | Score | Score | Score | Score | |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects g) Demonstrates familiarity with hazardous materials cleanup projects in municipal settings. | 25 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 14 |
| 4 | Approach to Safety Measures | Max Points | Score | Score | Score | Score | |
| | This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years d) Includes procedures for environmental protection during cleanup, such as measures for dust suppression, vapor control, spill containment, and waste transport safeguards. e) Describes contingency plans for managing unexpected contamination, worsening site conditions, or other environmental risks. | 25 | 22 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 14 |
| 5 | Reasonableness of Cost and Price | Max Points | Score | Score | Score | Score | |
| | Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on project cost for recently completed projects of similar scope and size, consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price | 25 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 14 |

| | Max Points | Total Score | Total Score | Total Score | Total Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| TOTAL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SCORES: | 100 | 87 | 78 | 91 | 83 | 56 |